Nixon Peabody LLP NIXON PEABODY LLP

10:46:10 a.m.

08-04-2010

1/4

# NIXON PEABODY LLP

Attorneys at Law

300 S. Riverside Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60606-6613 (312) 425-3900

Fax: (312) 425-3909

#### PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this fax is intended for the named recipients only. It contains privileged and confidential matter. If you have received this fax in error, please notify us immediately by a collect telephone call to (312) 425-3900 and return the original to the sender by mail. We will reimburse you for postage. Do not disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.

## **FAX**

1) Examiner Leich	To:		Company;		Fax #:		Telephone #:	
	Examiner Leichliter		USPTO		571-270-8109			571-270-7109
2)								
3)								
4)								
5)								
INTERNATIONA	AL PHONE NUMI	BERS MUST INCLUD	E COUNTRY &	CITY CO	DE, SEE LO	CAL WHITE	PAGES F	OR CODES NEEDED.
From: William D. Pegg Date		e: August 4, 2010		No. of Pages: 4 (including this page)				
Comments: Plo	ease see the at	tached.					23,541.	
Thank you!								
		Original of	the transmitted de	ocument w	ill be sent by	ı		A Supervisor
o First Class Mail	o Overnight Mail	o Hand Deliv	ery	o This transmission will be the only form of delivery of this document				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	· ·	•						
CONFIRMATION: DA	o Albany o Long Island o Paris	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia		ME	ago York City	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran	Virginia	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley
CONFIRMATION: DA	o Albany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington	o Buffalo o Manchester o Providence	o Chic o New	ago York City ester	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran	Virginia	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley
CONFIRMATION: DA	o Albany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington	o Buffalo o Manchester	o Chic o New	ago York City ester	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran Fax #:	Virginia	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley  Telephone #:
CONFIRMATION: DA  INTEROFFICE TO:  To:  1) Examiner Leich	o Athany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington	o Buffalo o Manchester o Providence	o Chic o New	ago York City ester	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran Fax #:	Virginia	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley
CONFIRMATION: DA  INTEROFFICE TO:  To:  1) Examiner Leich	o Athany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington	o Buffalo o Manchester o Providence	o Chic o New	ago York City ester	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran Fax #:	Virginia	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley  Telephone #:
To:  1) Examiner Leict 2) 3)	o Athany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington	o Buffalo o Manchester o Providence	o Chic o New	ago York City ester	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran Fax #:	Virginia	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley  Telephone #:
To:  1) Examiner Leicl 2) 3) 4)	o Athany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington	o Buffalo o Manchester o Providence	o Chic o New	ago York City ester	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran Fax #:	Virginia	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley  Telephone #:
To:  1) Examiner Leicl 2) 3) 4)	o Alhany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington  Co USPTO	o Buffalo o Manchester o Providence  mpany:	o Chic. o New o Roch	ago York City ester 571-270-	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran Fax #: 8109	Virginia cisco	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley  Telephone #: 571-270-7109
To:  1) Examiner Leicl 2) 3) 4)	o Alhany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington  Co USPTO	o Buffalo o Manchester o Providence  mpany:	o Chic. o New o Roch	ago York City ester 571-270- DE. SEET.	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran Fax #: 8109	Virginia cisco	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley  Telephone #: 571-270-7109  FOR CODES NEEDED.
1) Examiner Leich 2) 3) 4) 5)	o Alhany o Long Island o Paris o Shanghai  hliter	o Boston o Los Angeles o Philadelphia o Washington  Co USPTO	o Buffalo o Manchester o Providence  mpany:	o Chic. o New o Roch	ago York City ester 571-270- DE. SEET.	o Hartford o Northern o San Fran Fax #: 8109	Virginia cisco	o London o Palm Beach Gardens o Silicon Valley  Telephone #: 571-270-7109  FOR CODES NEEDED.  Matter: 247079-

Doc Code: M865 or FAI.REQ.INTV

PTOL-413A (10-09)
Approved for use through 07/31/2012, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form										
Application No.: 10/594,803 Examiner: Chase E. Leichliter		First Named Applicant: Alfred Thomas Art Unit: 3714 Status of Application: First Office Action								
Tentative Participants: (1) William D. Pegg, Reg. No. 42,988		(2) Jason S. Kray	7							
(3) Examiner Leichliter		_ (4)								
Proposed Date of Inte	erview: 8/5/1	10	Proposed Time: 11:00 AM (EST) (AM/PM)							
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [✓] Telephonic (2) [ ] Personal (3) [ ] Video Conference										
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:     YES										
Issues To Be Discussed										
Issues (Rej., Obj., ctc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Príor Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed					
(1) 102/103 Rej.	New Claim 56	Boesen & Jackson	[]	[]	[]					
(2)			[]	[]	[]					
(3)		-144/6 All to -1/4	[]	[]	1.1					
(4) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [										
Applicants wish to	explain the	subject matter of	the proposed	new claim	56 and the					
Applicants wish to explain the subject matter of the proposed new claim 56 and the reasons it is patentably distinct over the applied references, Boesen and Jackson.										
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.										
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature										
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative										
Registration !	Number, if appl	icable								

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1,133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1,11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Cummerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

3 /4

The following proposed claim amendments and remarks are presented for discussion purposes only.

## Proposed New Claim

56. (Proposed New Claim) A method of playing a wagering game on a gaming terminal, the gaming terminal being one of a plurality of gaming terminals, the plurality of gaming terminals being linked to a plurality of prize pools, the method comprising:

using a processor to conduct the wagering game, the wagering game having an outcome randomly selected from a plurality of possible outcomes, the plurality of possible outcomes including a first outcome and a second outcome, the second outcome being different from the first outcome;

displaying the randomly selected outcome on a display device;

increasing an amount of a first set of one or more of the prize pools in response to the first outcome, and increasing an amount of a second set of one or more of the prize pools in response to the second outcome, the second set being different from the first set; and

awarding one or more of the prize pools in response to the randomly selected outcome being a prize-pool award outcome.

### Remarks

#### 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103

The pending claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Publ. No. 2002/0151354 ("Boesen") or under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boesen in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,481,430 ("Jackson").

Proposed new claim 56 recites, *inter alia*, "increasing an amount of a first set of one or more of the prize pools in response to the first outcome, and increasing an amount of a second set of one or more of the prize pools in response to the second outcome, the second set being different from the first set" and "the second outcome being different from the first outcome." In

Continuation Sheet: Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form PTOL413A for U.S. App. Ser. No. 10/594,803

4/4

other words, proposed new claim 56 requires increasing different prize pools based on the occurrence of different outcomes during a wagering game.

Boesen, Jackson or any combination thereof fail to disclose, teach or suggest at least these claimed elements. Rather, Boesen only discloses increasing the value of a <u>single</u> jackpot based on the occurrence of certain events during the playing of a game. Boesen, ¶¶ 11-12. And Jackson discloses a conventional progressive jackpot wagering game in which the value of jackpots are increased only based on a percentage of the money deposited into the gaming machine. Jackson, col. 3, lns. 42-52. Moreover, the method disclosed by Jackson expressly requires that eligibility for one or more progressive jackpots is based only on the number of coins deposited into the gaming machine as this provides an incentive for the player to deposit greater amounts of money into the gaming machine. Jackson, col. 4, lns. 4-15, col. 3, lns. 27-29.

Accordingly, in view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that the applied references fail to provide sufficient grounds for rejection of proposed new claim 56.